

**EXHIBIT B**

**Proposed Order**

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

In re:

BLOCKFI INC., *et al.*,

Debtors.<sup>1</sup>

Chapter 11

Case No. 22-19361 (MBK)  
(Jointly Administered under a Confirmed Plan<sup>2</sup>)

**Hearing Date: January 25, 2024 @ 10:00  
a.m. ET**

**ORDER GRANTING WIND-DOWN DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO CLAIM  
FILED BY CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF BANKING**

---

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered two (2) through four (4) and  
Schedule 1 is **ORDERED**.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these Chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: BlockFi Inc. (0015); BlockFi Trading LLC. (2487); BlockFi Lending LLC (5017); BlockFi Wallet LLC (3231); BlockFi Ventures LLC (9937); BlockFi International Ltd. (N/A); BlockFi Investment Products LLC (2422); BlockFi Services, Inc. (5965) and BlockFi Lending II LLC (0154). The location of the Wind-Down Debtors' service address is c/o M3 Partners, 1700 Broadway, 19th Floor, New York, NY 10019.

<sup>2</sup> On October 3, 2023, the Court entered an order confirming the Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of BlockFi Inc. and its Debtor Affiliates Pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (Additional Technical Modifications) (the "Plan") [Docket No. 1609].

(Page 2)

Debtors: BLOCKFI INC., *et al.*

Case No. 22-19361 (MBK)

Caption of Order: ORDER GRANTING WIND-DOWN DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO  
CLAIM FILED BY THE CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF  
BANKING

<p><b>BROWN RUDNICK LLP</b> Kenneth J. Aulet, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Seven Times Square New York, New York 10036 (212) 209-4800 kaulet@brownrudnick.com</p> <p><b>BROWN RUDNICK LLP</b> Tristan Axelrod, Esq. (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111 (617)856-8300 taxelrod@brownrudnick.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for the Plan Administrator</i></p> <p><b>GENOVA BURNS LLC</b> Daniel M. Stolz, Esq. Donald W. Clarke, Esq. 110 Allen Rd., Suite 304 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920 (973) 230-2095 DStolz@genovaburns.com DClarke@genovaburns.com</p> <p><i>Local Counsel for the Plan Administrator</i></p>	<p><b>HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP</b> Richard S. Kanowitz, Esq. (NJ Bar No. 047911992) Lauren M. Sisson, Esq. (NJ Bar No. 394182022) 30 Rockefeller Plaza, 26th Floor New York, New York 10112 (212) 659-7300 richard.kanowitz@haynesboone.com lauren.sisson@haynesboone.com</p> <p><i>Attorneys for the Plan Administrator</i></p>
--	---

Upon consideration of the *Wind-Down Debtors' Objection to Claim Filed by the Connecticut Department of Banking* (the "Objection")<sup>3</sup>; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Objection and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157 and Standing Order 12-1 (Simandle, C.J.), *Standing Order of Reference to the Bankruptcy Court Under Title 11*, dated September 18, 2012; and consideration of the Objection and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and it appearing that

<sup>3</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

(Page 3)

Debtors: BLOCKFI INC., *et al.*

Case No. 22-19361 (MBK)

Caption of Order: ORDER GRANTING WIND-DOWN DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO  
CLAIM FILED BY THE CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF  
BANKING

---

no other or further notice of the Objection need be provided; and all responses, if any, to the Objection having been withdrawn, resolved, or overruled; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interests of the Debtors, their respective estates and creditors, and all parties-in-interest; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon the Certification of Flori Marquez attached to the Objection, the record herein, and all of the proceedings had before the Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Wind-Down Debtors' Objection is SUSTAINED as set forth herein.
2. The claim listed on Schedule 1 attached hereto is hereby deemed disallowed.
3. Kroll Restructuring Administration LLC (the "Claims and Noticing Agent") is hereby authorized and directed to disallow and expunge the claim on Schedule 1.
4. The Wind-Down Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out the relief granted in this Order.
5. The terms, conditions, and provisions of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.
6. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Objection, this Order, or any findings announced at the hearing, nothing in the Objection, this Order, or announced at the hearing constitutes a finding under the federal securities laws as to whether crypto tokens or transactions

(Page 4)

Debtors: BLOCKFI INC., *et al.*

Case No. 22-19361 (MBK)

Caption of Order: ORDER GRANTING WIND-DOWN DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO  
CLAIM FILED BY THE CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF  
BANKING

---

involving crypto tokens are securities, and the right of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission to challenge transactions involving crypto tokens on any basis are expressly reserved.

7. Nothing contained in this Objection or any actions taken pursuant to any order granting the relief requested by this Objection is intended or should be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any particular claim against the Wind-Down Debtors, (b) a waiver of the Wind-Down Debtors' rights to dispute any particular claim on any grounds, (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim, (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any order granting the relief requested by this Objection, (e) a request or authorization to assume any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, (f) a waiver or limitation of the Wind-Down Debtors' rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law; or (g) a concession by the Wind-Down Debtors that any liens (contractual, common law, statutory, or otherwise) satisfied pursuant to the Objection are valid, and the Wind-Down Debtors expressly reserve their rights to contest the extent, validity, or perfection or seek avoidance of all such liens. If the Court grants the relief sought herein, any transfer made pursuant to the Court's Order is not intended and should not be construed as an admission as to the validity of any particular claim or a waiver of the Wind-Down Debtors' rights to subsequently dispute such claim.

8. The requirement set forth in Local Rule 9013-1(a)(3) that any motion be accompanied by a memorandum of law is hereby deemed satisfied by the contents of the Objection or is otherwise waived.

(Page 5)

Debtors: BLOCKFI INC., *et al.*

Case No. 22-19361 (MBK)

Caption of Order: ORDER GRANTING WIND-DOWN DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO  
CLAIM FILED BY THE CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF  
BANKING

---

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related  
to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.

**Schedule 1**

**Disputed Claim**

Debtor: BlockFi Inc et al.

Objection to Claim

Legend: Basis for Objection

BR-NI	Claimant is not identifiable as a customer or counterparty of BlockFi	TOU	Claim includes amounts in violation of Terms of Use, including fraud or disabled account
BR - A	Inconsistent with Books and Records - Incorrect Crypto Amount, Correct Dollar Amount	IC	Claims are classified incorrectly or improperly
BR - B	Inconsistent with Books and Records - Incorrect Dollar Amount, Correct Crypto Amount	ID	Claims fail to specify the basis for claim or provide sufficient documentation
BR - C	Inconsistent with Books and Records - Incorrect Dollar and Crypto Amount	NL	Seeks recovery for amounts for which the Debtors are not liable
UL	Claims fail to specify the asserted claim amount or list 'unliquidated'	NDF	Non-debtor has satisfied the claim in full
AMD	Claim amended by subsequently filed proof of claim	L	Late filed claim after bar date
DUP	Duplicative claim	O	Other; see Notes for more information

Filed Claim					Surviving Claim							
Proof of Claim No.	Claimant Name	Date Filed	Filed Debtor Entity	Asserted Claim Amount	Basis for Objection	Surviving Claim No.	Estate - Debtor Entity	Estate - Surviving Claim Amount	Wallet - Debtor Entity	Wallet Coins - Surviving Claim Amount <sup>1</sup>	Notes	Action <sup>2</sup>
31767	Connecticut Department of Banking	5/30/2023	BlockFi Trading LLC	Unliquidated	UL, NL	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Expunge

<sup>1</sup> Claims that did not assert Wallet as part of their proof of claim may still have Wallet balances but may be listed as "N/A" to this particular claim.

<sup>2</sup> Claims that the Debtors are seeking to modify are subject to future objection.